

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/836,333	YOO, CHANG-WOONG	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Trenton J. Roche	2193	

All Participants:

(1) Trenton J. Roche.

(2) Saryadvinder S. Sahota.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 12 September 2006

Time: N/A

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103(a)

Claims discussed:

1, 7

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Mr. Sahota on 12 September 2006, proposing an Examiner's Amendment moving dependent claim 7 into independent claim 1, which would likely result in allowance of the claim. The Examiner also noted that the outstanding rejections would be withdrawn as well. Mr. Sahota contacted the Examiner 14 September 2006, indicating that Applicant was fine with the Examiner's Amendment and to proceed as planned..